

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,655	03/17/2004	Takao Inoue	50024-025	1697
7590 06/28/2007 McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			WILLS, MONIQUE M	
Washington, Do	C 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
·		·	1745	
		•	· ·	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/801,655	INOUE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monique M. Wills	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	' March 2004.	
· <u> </u>	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on ·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority under 35 0.0.0. §	119(a)-(a) of (i).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<del></del>	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/801,655 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a non-aqueous electrolyte battery, classified in class 429, subclass 231.95.
- II. Claims 13-16, drawn to method of making a positive electrode active material, classified in class 429, subclass 218.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the electrode can be made by a materially different process such as in situ in the electrochemical cell..

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction

is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is (571) 272–1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday–Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, may be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,655 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MW

6/18/07

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER